
## Beyond Sisyphus:

Redesigning
Social Change Systems
to Create a Healthy,
Humane World

Version 2.0 April 2021





#### What you'll find in this deck:

At Creating the Future, we are in the inquiry stage of a project to re-imagine the structures that social change organizations rely upon to do their work – ranging from human resources and accounting to funding and governance, and everything in between.

This deck is where we are gathering the results of that inquiry. It is a place for theoretical exploration, for thinking out loud, for synthesizing all that thinking into one cohesive logic that will eventually guide our actions – a "green paper" where we can think things through together. As such, it is a work in progress, an ongoing draft.


We hope you will share your thoughts with us.

And we hope you will be part of this effort, to ensure the work done INSIDE social change groups reflects the healthy, equitable, humane future we want to see IN THE WORLD.

Please share your thoughts at <a href="https://creatingthefuture.org/contact-us/">https://creatingthefuture.org/contact-us/</a>



#### The Story of Sisyphus



In Greek mythology, Sisyphus was punished by the gods, forced to roll a boulder up a hill only to have it roll down when it reached the top, over and over, for all eternity.




## Do you ever feel like Sisyphus in your work to create a more humane world?

Do you ever feel stuck, frustrated - like the struggle to

- raise money...
- stay competitive...
- do more with less...

...is just as hard, if not harder, than the real work of your mission?





# With the health and humanity of our planet increasingly at risk,

changemakers shouldn't have to ALSO battle the systems intended to sustain their work.

- Funding
- Planning and Evaluation
- Leadership and Equity
- Community Engagement
- and all the rest





Creating the Future is in the inquiry stage
on a project to design systems
that smooth the way for
social change efforts to
create the future they want to see.





### Here's what we're finding...



### The Struggle

The frustration facing social change organizations is palpable. That frustration is felt by folks doing work on the ground in communities. It is felt by foundation leaders and philanthropists, by academics teaching social enterprise and nonprofit management, by consultants and capacity builders.


In our experience, when everyone within a system is frustrated, the problem is not individual people or organizations...

The problem is systemic.



### The Analysis

To create a world that is equitable, humane, healthy...



The systems we use to create that world would themselves reflect equity, relationship, trust, enoughness, and possibility.



# Unfortunately, that isn't the norm for the systems that guide and support social change efforts.



## For example...





## Resourcing / Funding Systems would demonstrate...

- Power centered in community members / the people doing the work
- Equity
- Relationship and trust
- Sharing resources
- Enoughness
- All of us together
- Valuing "in-kind" as a gift of sharing and relationship



- Inequitable power dynamics center power in whoever has money
- "He who has the gold makes the rules"
- Scarcity
- Hunger Games-esque competition for the means to survive
- Fear of offending donors, leading to shying away from seminal issues
- Valuing "in-kind" contributions only in relation to a decreased need for cash





## Organizational Structure would demonstrate...

- Power centered in the people most closely affected by any decision
- Networked relationships resembling natural ecosystems & communities
- Boards (where required) as support to the people doing the actual work
- Porous organizational "walls" for open flow of ideas, people and resources.
- Centering community sustainability & thriving





- Top-down command and control (military origins) that maintains power & privilege
- Boards at the top, pretending to lead
- Organization is "in here." Community is "out there" (e.g. going "out to the community." e.g. Rich Harwood's encouragement to "turn outward")
- Centering the organization itself as an entity that must be sustained & thrive



## **Evaluation & Learning would demonstrate...**

- Learning what works and sharing that broadly across whole fields, to move together towards what is possible (future focus)
- Seeing programs as means to learning what works vs. programs as ends unto themselves
- Emphasis on relationship and stories, as those are primary way humans learn.



- Little value placed on learning (e.g. lack of consistent funding for evaluation)
- Evaluation as compliance, to justify past performance
- Emphasis on learning as individual organizations (vs. whole fields), leading to hoarding information
- Metrics / numbers (quantitative) valued over stories (qualitative); "hard" evidence over "soft" (i.e. human-centered) evidence







## Planning & Decision-making would demonstrate...

- Planning that centers the community affected
   co-planning / co-deciding with everyone
   together. "Confluence" of interest.
- Plans and decisions reflecting the values changemakers want to see in the world
- Future-focused, aimed at dramatic, visionary, community-focused results
- Mindful of privilege, patriarchy, colonialism, racism in the planning itself.
- Seeing the whole context, including all possible futures.

## CREATING THE FUTURE Change the Questions, Change the World!

- Planning that centers the organization, routinely excluding community members and line staff (seen as having "conflict" of interest)
- Organizational and community values eclipsed by what can be easily funded
- Incremental plans, reacting to problems and intervening vs. creating the future we DO want
- Assuming that social change groups are naturally equitable creates equity blind spots
- Funding cycle mindsets (e.g. What can we take on in the next 2 years?) lead to myopic plans



#### Leadership would demonstrate...

- Shared leadership and power, within organizations, and between people in organizations and people in community
- Leadership succession focused on vision & values
- Centering people from affected communities as leaders of their own change (race, ethnicity, age, ability, gender, sexual orientation, other factors)
- Active pipelines for developing leaders within affected communities
- Equity in all its facets and dimensions



- Power held closely by those designated as leaders within the organization, modeled after leadership in the business world (i.e. "C Suite" leadership)
- "Natural" org life cycles assume that bureaucratic management skills will replace visionary, values-based, start-up leadership.
- Organizational leaders overwhelmingly from the dominant culture, often lamenting "We can't find any qualified \_\_" (i.e. no pipeline)
- Government & nonprofit leaders given more credence on issues than community leaders





## Communication & Engagement would demonstrate...

- Engagement as collective strength towards community-focused and community-generated goals. Centering what we can accomplish together.
- Openly sharing resources and knowledge
- Connection, Relationship, Inclusion, Equity, Alliance and Trust
- Finding common ground as allies
- Communication as two-way





- Marketing mandate to differentiate from other orgs
- Assumptions re: competition limit trust and sharing
- Relationship-building seen as a function of fundraising, favoring those of financial means over "regular people"
- Relationships with organizations doing similar work are competitive, working at best as "friendly competitors"
- Communication as one-way "outreach"



## Accounting & Accountability would demonstrate...

- Primary accountability is to the people served by the org's work. Stewardship programs aim at that accountability FIRST.
- Accounting processes & tools center ends (reports re: community impact), then means (reports re: money)
- Ongoing board, staff and community conversations dedicated to determining how to assess and report impact.
- Organizations valued by the reach and depth of their results (vs. the size of their budget)





- Primary accountability and stewardship to donors and funders
- Accounting processes center money, period. (accounting for means vs. ends)
- Valuing metrics leads to counting what is easy to count – pounds of food, numbers of people served – vs. real change in people's lives
- "Fiduciary" is to the organization's survival, not the community thriving. Organizations are value by the size of their budget.
- Capitalist view of many board members, that programs should "pay for themselves," eschewing programs that are "subsidized" by the organization's budget.



## Program Design would demonstrate...

- Programs centering self-determination and self-empowerment of community members, building on community strengths
- Organizational staff serving as facilitators of co-designed, strength-based, communitybased programs. Program design would be developed by community members, adding content expertise from the organization as needed.





- Program emphasis on "doing for" people assumes communities are "weak and in need of fixing," perpetuating dependency, ensuring orgs are needed in perpetuity.
- Programs center organizational staff, often excluding affected community members from that discussion entirely, perpetuating the influences of colonialism, white supremacy, and patriarchy.
- Business memes of "fail fast" and "prototyping" that make sense in developing software are now encouraged for designing programs that impact people's lives.



## HR & Compensation would demonstrate...

- HR would focus on creating conditions that bring out the best in people.
- Compensation would align with the value of the work, to the organization and to the community vs. "market value."



- HR views people as risks to be managed / potential liabilities, with a focus on discipline and remedies.
- Compensation based on "market value," in an economic system that values money over people, systemically devaluing the "caring work" of social change groups.
- HR centers and protects the organization, not the people working in that organization.



When those with money make the rules about who gets to create what kinds of change, and planning for that change is reactive and incremental...

When learning is hoarded, accounting emphasizes dollars over impact, HR sees people as risks to be managed, compensation is rooted in "the market," and communication emphasizes differentiation vs. finding common ground...

When organizations adopt top-down, command-and-control leadership and power structures, with a handful of primarily dominant-culture individuals making decisions and plans that affect everyone inside and outside the organization's "walls"...



When the tools and systems used by social change groups are adopted and adapted from the very institutions (business, military, etc.) that have caused many of the problems in the first place ...



...the social change ecosystem is actually <u>modeling and perpetuating</u>
the very conditions it is seeking to change.





# What Has Been Tried



# There have been many efforts over several decades, intended to address this situation...



#### Efforts tried to date have included...





... and many more

New initiatives to infuse more Diversity, Equity & Inclusion are being launched across the whole social change arena, along with efforts to reinvent philanthropy and governance, all foreseeing the coming of a "new normal." \*

\* Deborah Elizabeth Finn has assembled a running list of articles and books about the current "revolution" in philanthropy. As of December 29, 2019, the list contained over 100 articles and books.





# And evidence of widespread changemaker frustration is strong

- Dan Pallotta's TED talk, "The way we think about charity is dead wrong," has over 5 million views \*
- ❖ Vu Le's "Nonprofit AF" blog, critiquing the daily realities of nonprofit life, has over 32,000 subscribers. His Facebook group "Nonprofit Happy Hour" has almost 48,000 members. \*
  \*As of April 2021



# Why Reforms Have Not Worked



# Why Reforms Have Not Worked: Reason #1

The internal workings of social change organizations were not designed to create long term, systemic, societal change. They were adapted instead from church charity and industrialist charity, from the military, from the business world – from power and privilege. Those systems therefore are not broken; they are doing what they were intended to do. They are just the wrong toolsfor the job, which reform cannot help.

Approaches rooted in power and privilege cannot dismantle power and privilege – cannot create equity – because they were not designed to do so.

Given what is at stake, social good efforts deserve supports that are intentionally designed for the purpose of creating systemic societal change.




Photo licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 2.0 Generic license

"The master's tools will never dismantle the master's house. They may allow us to temporarily beat him at his own game, but they will never enable us to bring about genuine change."

~ Audre Lorde



When the questions, assumptions, and approaches that support an effort conflict with the results that effort is intended to create, the results are guaranteed to disappoint.



Dr. Steven Kerr\* described this conflict between means and ends as "The Folly of Rewarding A, While Hoping for B."



# Why Reforms Have Not Worked: Reason #2

Reform efforts are, by definition, incremental, reactive attempts to reduce or eliminate symptoms. Interventions to fix what we do NOT like cannot create the world we DO want.

By narrowly defining the problem as (for example) "funding" or "governance," we also fail to consider the interdependent effects of each of those functions upon each other, and upon the whole of the social change ecosystem.

One key to creating change, therefore, will be to see these functions as one interconnected system, rather than reacting to each function independently.




## The conflict between means and ends has led to...

- ✓ Perpetuation and normalizing of systems rooted in colonialism, patriarchy, and white supremacy within social change organizations themselves
- ✓ Assumptions that change is hard, slow, incremental, leading to futility, frustration, burnout
- ✓ Wages that devalue the importance of the work being done (more frustration and burnout)
- ✓ Growth of the Nonprofit Industrial Complex a market that thrives on the ineffectiveness caused when means conflict with ends
- ✓ The proliferation of social change orgs fighting each other for money, and the underutilization of ALL resources people, time, money
- ✓ All of which puts a cap on the amount of real change that is possible



## What WILL Work





"You never change things by fighting the existing reality. To change something, build a new model that makes the existing model obsolete."

- Buckminster Fuller



### What WILL work:




- 1) Thinking: Align the questions and assumptions that support social change work with the values of the equitable, healthy, humane world we want to see.
- 2) Actions: Re-imagine each and every social change support system to align with that thinking. Create the social change ecosystem we DO want vs. reacting to the individual aspects we do NOT want.



## This will require asking questions like...

- Who will be affected by any effort to re-design social change systems? What would it take to involve them in any actions taken (vs. taking actions on their behalf)?
- What would GOOD look like for each and every system that social good organizations rely upon – the individual structures AND the system as a whole?
- What supports would reflect the desired end results of social change, creating that future by BEING it, in every way possible?





### Those questions are at the heart of

### Catalytic Thinking –

a framework for bringing out the best in any situation,

through the questions we ask.



With Catalytic Thinking guiding all our work at Creating the Future, we are excited to explore and experiment, to reimagine the interconnected systems that currently support the social change ecosystem.



### Who we are

### Creating the Future's Vision:



A healthy, humane, equitable world that works for everyone.\*

### Creating the Future's Mission:

Catalyze systems change by showing people how to re-imagine and re-create systems via the questions they ask (Catalytic Thinking).

### Creating the Future's Work to Accomplish the Mission:

- a) Experiment: Apply Catalytic Thinking to existing systems, to demonstrate that reimagining the systems we all encounter is possible
- b) Educate: Share what we learn about how systems change happens



\* The phrase "a world that works for everyone" is most often attributed to Buckminster Fuller

### To date, our work has included\*...

### 2012 - 2020

### Intention:

What we committed to accomplish

Demonstrate that Catalytic Thinking helps groups and individuals reach their potential. Teach others to use that framework for their own systems change work. (Proof of Concept)

### How:

**Experimenting** 

Creating the Future's teams experimented with our own organizational systems (open engagement, board work) and external organizational systems (demonstration projects)

### How:

Sharing what we learn

To share what we learned in those experiments, Creating the Future's faculty developed and taught classes, from click-and-play recorded classes to months-long immersion courses. Over 200 people around the world have been part of those classes.



### Our current work

With the proof-of-concept behind us, Creating the Future is seeking ways to share what we have learned and to facilitate change throughout all corners of the social change ecosystem, to re-imagine how the internal work of social change is done.

During this transition period, we are continuing to...

- Provide educational content to the public and to those who want to teach Catalytic Thinking in other settings (academic institutions, within organizations, etc.)
- Provide communities of support for folks experimenting with Catalytic Thinking in their own work
- Openly experiment with our own internal systems, from compensation and hiring practices to resource development and leadership.



# What's Next is all about YOU



### If you have felt frustrated,

that no matter what you do, that boulder keeps sliding back down the hill...

If you want to join others who are experimenting to find more effective ways to support social change work...

We are inviting you to be part of a movement to finally getting that boulder over the hump.



### The Invitation

### We are inviting you to work alongside us, to...

- **Experiment** both with ongoing experiments at Creating the Future, as well as new experiments birthed by participants in this new effort.
- Learn together with other leaders in the social change ecosystem, with support for directly applying that learning to make your own work more effective in creating lasting change.
- **Be a contribution** to help ripple effective practices into every corner of social change infrastructure



### What we can promise you:

- The ultimate goal will be to ensure that ALL the systems and structures used to support social change center People and Caring, reflecting the humane, healthy, equitable world we want to see. Check out the next slide to see what we mean.
- ➤ Per Creating the Future's **core values**, Catalytic Thinking will guide our work, and all aspects of the work will model the inclusion and equity we want to see in the world.
- ➤ Both our planning and the work itself will be done openly and collaboratively, as is all our strategy work **openly inviting everyone** who wants to participate in those conversations. Importantly, we will not seek to reinvent what others are doing, but to act as a support to those efforts.
- ➤ We will build upon our experience as a **place for experimenting**, creating and holding the space for those who wish to experiment with alternative support systems, and to provide **Catalytic Thinking** as a support for those experiments.




### The end goal: Systems that Center People and Caring

- **Resourcing** that walks the talk of cooperation, sharing, trust, and equity.
- **Organizational structure** that reflects an ecosystem vs. military command.
- **Evaluation** as shared learning, to enhance results across entire fields of endeavor.
- **Planning** that is systems-focused, vision-focused, community-focused.
- Leadership that is radically inclusive, equitable, shares power towards the goal that both organizations and communities are highly participatory and engaged.
- **Communication & engagement** that includes vs. differentiates, seeking out voices that are often ignored, where openly inviting participation is simply how we be.
- Accounting / accountability aimed first at accountability to the community for end results, only secondarily on means (including but not limited to money).
- ❖ **Program design** rooted in deep community engagement, where people in community contribute their wisdom to guide the design of the programs.
- **HR & Compensation** that values people and aims to bring out the best in their potential, honoring the importance of the work they are doing.
- **ALL** as one interdependent, interconnected system of support for social change



## Aligning social change support systems with the ends we want to see will allow people to experience...

- Less frustration and burnout, more joy and ease
- More connection, relationship, trust among changemakers doing similar work, between organizations and people funding their work; and especially between organizations and the communities they serve
- More resources of all kinds (not just cash), with more sharing of those resources - more cooperation
- Most importantly, more progress towards the equitable, healthy, humane world we all sense is possible.





## It is time for all of us

to build THAT

together



"Let's begin our journey not with the question, 'What do we have now, and how can we make it better?' Instead, we have now, and how can we imagine for ourselves and the let's ask, 'What can we imagine for ourselves and the world?' If we do that, then boundless possibilities of a more just world await us."

~ Mariame Kaba Author, We Do This 'Til We Free Us



## Creating the Future extends deep gratitude to the following individuals, whose thinking has contributed to this inquiry so far.

Troy Alford, Vestmark Financial Technology Natalie Aliga, Wells Fargo Foundation Rebecca Altman, Third Plateau Consultants Eli Angote, founder, TheBestNotary.Net Shena Ashley, The Urban Institute Rachel Baker, Evelyn & Walter Haas, Jr. Fund Robert Ballantyne, Ballantyne & Associates Susan Basterfield, Enspiral Bonnie Bazata, Ending Poverty Now Mike Berkowitz, Third Plateau Consultants Whitney Caruso, Third Plateau Consultants Rob Collier, Council of Michigan Foundations Emily Davis, Emily Davis Consulting Tom Dawkins, StartSomeGood Crowdfunding Andre DeLeon, Nevada Department of Education Jenny Punsalan Delwood, Liberty Hill Foundation

Susan Detwiler, The Detwiler Group Consulting Luis Diaz-Albertini, TIDES Foundation Angie Eikenberry, University of Nebraska Omaha Christina Engel, Ken Birdwell Foundation Chana Fitton, Evelyn & Walter Haas, Jr. Fund Jason Franklin, Ktisis Capital Diana Freshwater, Arizona Open Land and Water Trust Mark Friesen, CEO Columbia College Motohiro Fukasawa, Motospire, Inc. Mari Gewecke, Lane Gewecke Consulting Drew Goldstein, RoundSquare Group Sidney Hargro, Philanthropy Network of Greater Philadelphia Jeannie Howell, Third Plateau Consultants Andrea John-Smith, Scout Finch Consulting

Sherry Jennings, Sound Governance

Erin Kang, Ontario Nonprofit Network

Mary Jo Kaplan, Enspiral and Kaplan Consulting



### and more gratitude to...

Jonathan Kaufman, Third Plateau Consultants Sue Kindred, SK Consulting Kimber Lanning, Local First Arizona Vu Le, Rainier Valley Corp Joyce Lee-Ibarra, JLI Consulting Joyce McCullough, Ai Inclusion Christy McGill, Nevada Department of Education May Miller-Dawkins, Consultant Linda Mollenhauer, Ignite NPS Foundation Nora Lester Murad, Founder of Dalia / Palestine's Community Foundation Jane Narich, Graham Pelton Consulting Robert Ojeda, Community Food Bank Southern AZ Beatriz Paniego Bejar, Unidos US Marc Pitman, Concord Leadership Group Justin Pollock, Org Forward Deepak Puri, The Democracy Labs and TIDES **Foundation** 

Rachel Ramjattan, Network for Good Elizabeth Sadlon, Consultant Amy Sample Ward, CEO NTEN Andrew Schulman, Schulman Consulting Karen Smith, MDB Insight Deborah Spector, Creative Solutions & Innovations Kelly Trusty, Trine University Maria Turnbull, Vantage Point Ann Vermel, Consultant Liz Wagstaffe, Third Plateau Consultants Alandra Washington, W.K. Kellogg Foundation Karl Wilding, CEO National Council of Voluntary **Organisations** 

In addition, Angela Eikenberry, Roseanne Mirabella and Billie Sandberg co-edited an excellent research-based analysis of many systemic issues facing social change groups. Their book, "Reframing Nonprofit Organizations: Democracy, Inclusion," and Social Change" is a must-read for systems thinkers seeking to change the social sector from the inside out.



# Please share your thoughts and join the exploration!

Please share your thoughts and experiences with us around this topic. If you know folks who are also walking this path, please introduce us!

And please talk to us about how you and your organization can be part of this effort.

https://creatingthefuture.org/contact-us/

We are excited to be exploring and experimenting together!





### CREATING THE FUTURE

Change the Questions, Change the World

www.CreatingTheFuture.org